Sherrrance Henderson

385 Highland Ave

Newark, NJ 07104

August 22, 2019

Southern District Federal Court White Plains New York
Honorable Chief Judge Colleen McMahon

300 Quarropas Street

Clerk of the Court Office

White Plains, New York 10601

Re: 19-CV-2878(CM)

Honorable Chief Judge Colleen McMahon:

As per your letter dated on April 12, 2019, requesting an explanation to the following...

- 1. NCD construction
- 2. Eric Sanders, esq. and The Sander's Firm
- 3. Amended Complaint in accordance with court civil rule 8
- 1. NCD is define as the Golden Corral Authorized Contractor. ALL liens by lead contractor (NCD) and subcontractors have been fully satisfied by the defendant Anthony Segretiti when TD Bank sold him my bank required of Segretiti was to pay all leans from both Contractor (NCD) and all sub-contractors.

There is no legal on going relationship with NCD or any of the sub- contractors with me on any business entity I own or have any finical relationship with at this time. My filing of case 19-CV-2878 is not consolidated case of any of my past cases regarding of NCD and I am not seeing this type of resolution.

2019 AUG 22 PM 4: 58

PDMY DOCKET UNIT RECEIVED

- 2. Eric Sanders and The Sander's Firm was simply employed to represent me in case 19-CV-2878 (CM). Eric Sanders and The Sander's firm was terminated. The Sander's Firm and or Eric Sanders DID not file any case on my behalf, send one (1) letter, make one (1) call, do one (1) motion and order on my behalf. Currently, my filing "Henderson vs. Eric Sanders and The Sander's Firm is in Southern District New York City (Manhattan) with a federal lawsuit as a Plaintiff. As a result of the highlighting in your notes which, of course your honor as all rights to query, the notation has caused African American Civil Rights lawyers who were sought after to feel uncomfortable and have reservations in representing me in the above case 19-CV-2878. The Sander's firm and Etic Sanders federal case I am not seeking this case to be consolidated and thus my other lawsuits should not be review as a "Bankruptcy" application or lawsuit and the other past and or present lawsuits are not joint and should not be viewed as a join or historical filing.
- 3. Amended complaints thank you graciously for the opportunity to satisfied the expectations of the Southern District Court of New York. Please accept the enclosed filling.

Sincerely,

Sherrance Henderson

X
19-CV-2878 (CM)
COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND
, LANCE TRENARY, JHON I AND JANE AND JOHN DOE,
x
his complaint against the seven
nk, Small Business
Petal, Anthony Segretiti, and
e
tentional infliction of emotional
ations Act (RICO) and
0100
§1985.
§1985.

31		
32		
33		
34		
35		II.
36		Parties
37	2.	Plaintiff Sherrance Henderson (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") is
38	and at all t	imes hereinafter mentioned is a resident and citizen of Essex County-
39	city of New	ark, State of New Jersey. She is an African-American female, disable,
40	and a singl	e parent to two children who have been great impacted with autism.
41	3.	Defendant Golden Corral Systems, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as
12	"GC") is a l	North Carolina corporation organized with a principal place of business
43	in North Ca	arolina.
14	4.	TD Bank is headquartered in New Jersey.
15		III.
16		Jurisdiction
17	5.	Jurisdiction is conferred on this court by 28 U.S.C. §1332 (diversity of
18	citizenship).
19	6.	The amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.
50	7.	Jurisdiction is also founded on 28 U.S.C. §1331 (federal question) and
51	28 U.S.C. §	1343 (civil rights).

52 **IV.**

56

53	Factual Background

- 6. On or about March 2013, Defendant Golden Corral Systems, Inc.
 presented Plaintiff Henderson, with a written franchise agreement known to the
- 7. Plaintiff, without sufficient legal knowledge and without the advice of any counsel, accepted the FDD and signed the agreement.

Plaintiff as the Franchise Disclosure Document (hereinafter referred to as "FDD").

- 8. Irwin Roberts, Vice President of Franchise Sales for GC produced the 59 FDD at the hotel lobby at Atlanta airport Marriott, (hereinafter referred to as 60 "Roberts") with GC told/informed/instructed Plaintiff to acquire two other people, 61 preferably men, to share her franchise license with Restaurant experience because 62 Plaintiff could not aid in managing the business due to her disability and because 63 she is a woman who has never operated a business of this type. Roberts asked 64 Plaintiff if she was married, if she has children at the time of the exchange of the 65 FDD. As a result of Roberts' instruction, Plaintiff issued five percent (5%) 66 ownership of her franchise GC license to each Darren "Chip" Joyner (hereinafter 67 referred to as "Joyner") and Milton A. Dewar (hereinafter referred to as "Dewar"). 68 Plaintiff retained ninety percent (90%) ownership. 69
- 70 24. On or about September 2014, Plaintiff, Joyner, and Dewar were in an informal meeting in GC's boardroom with GC's lead legal counsel, Chappell Phillip,

- Esq. (hereinafter referred to as "Phillip"). Plaintiff was asked by Phillip why she and Dewar had not married and were cohabiting as a common law married couple.
- Type 25. Upon information and belief, on or about May 5, 2016, Plaintiff
 requested to be trained to become a GC Certified manager and was clearly informed
 by Toney Sewell that they were not training owners and it would be too difficult for
 Plaintiff due to her disability and her use of a single prong cane.
- 78 26. From May 2016 through November 2016 Plaintiff communicated with
 79 several GC store owners about receiving training.
- 27. On or about August 11, 2016, Patel, an employee of GC, offers Kitchen and General Managers continued training/real life work experience one at his Buffalo stores and General Manager training at the Saratoga Store.
- 28. On or about October 21, 2017, Patel denied offering kitchen manager full time employment during the downtime and at said store in Poughkeepsie, Patel offered Kitchen Manager full-time permanent employment at his Buffalo store. Patel also offered to train Plaintiff with a customized training package which will
- 87 "NOT" offer the opportunity to be a certified store manager at GC.
- Plaintiff had the GC store built from raw land from ground up.
- 30. On or about January 2017 Plaintiff opened her store at 2345 South
 Road, Poughkeepsie, New York.
- 91 31. On or about February 11, 2017, Plaintiff e-mailed GC requesting help 92 to retrain the team members and express her concerns that John Craig's GC North

East Franchise Field Specialists had instructed Plaintiff's kitchen manager to order items that were unnecessary, not supported by the market of Poughkeepsie and/or the store readiness, while ignoring items that were in line for the grand opening.

- 32. John Craig, franchisee field specialist for the Northeast region (hereinafter referred to as "JC") ordered Plaintiff's kitchen manager, Adam Toliver (hereinafter referred to as "Toliver"), to order 200 plus pork butts and \$16,000.00 worth of fruits and vegetables. JC then instructed Toliver to store said fruits and vegetables within a freezer. The excess pork butts and the fruits and vegetables that Toliver was instructed to store the freezer spoiled. JC instructed Toliver to spend \$46,000.00 to \$50,000.00 or more every 4 ½ days. This action caused a financial hardship on Plaintiff and her GC store at 2345 South Road, Poughkeepsie, New York.
 - 33. JC instructed Plaintiff's store managers to schedule all workers (144) One hundred and forty-for- forty (40) plus hours, which caused a financial hardship on Plaintiff and said GC store at 2345 South Road, Poughkeepsie, New York.
- 34. JC gave his cellphone number to workers and managers at the store and encouraged them to call him. This was part of a strategy to undermine Plaintiff's authority in her own store.
- 35. JC led kitchen manager Anthony Crocc to communicate with him daily about the kitchen, such as if any of the Poughkeepsie workers had any issues of concern.

36. JC worked to undermine Plaintiff's authority within her own business. JC fueled jealousy among Plaintiff's workers and even with Joyner. JC empowered bad disrespectful behavior with workers by skillful leadership of manipulation. JC supported the "A" team leader actions of going to Plaintiff's store register to pay the "A" team their weekly pay. JC had activated a disease of disrespect for the leadership of the Poughkeepsie GC store.

- 37. Upon information and belief, on or about February 1, 2017, Plaintiff communicated with GC Vice President of Franchise Development Darryl Web (hereinafter referred to as "Web") and requested some of the "A" team to support the Poughkeepsie store a couple more weeks.
- 38. On or about February 1, 2017, Dave Webb (hereinafter referred to as "Webb"), Vice President of the North East franchise development instructed to accept 20 year GC veteran Jaymie Aimalefoa (hereinafter referred to as "Aimalefoa") to work with Plaintiff's GC in Poughkeepsie, New York.
- 39. From about February 1, 2017 until March 15, 2017, Aimalefoa did not offer support of the Poughkeepsie GC store. Aimalefoa was cynical, distrustful, and reported all unfavorable reports back to GC which offered untruth and biased ammunition to enhance GC's professional racketious business plan model.

 Aimalefoa worked as a direct spy for GC during her tenure at Plaintiff's Poughkeepsie GC store. She also gave workers her telephone number and even went as far to have a love affair with one of the visiting managers.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

40. From about February 1, 2017 until February 15, 2017, Aimalefoa would kiss and make other romantic actions to the visiting manager from the Connecticut. When Plaintiff requested that she control her actions with the hospitality manager, Aimalefoa became hostile and withdrawn with Plaintiff.

- 41. Shortly after the closing of Plaintiff's store, Aimalefoa was rewarded with owner the operator/General Manager position in North Carolina with an increase in pay of six figures.
- 42. Poughkeepsie GC was inundated with personal shoppers/secret shoppers activated by GC headquarter. On or about February 2017, an African American customer spoke to Plaintiff and informed her that she was a secret shopper and worked as a sub-contractor to review neighborhood restaurants. The secret shopper further stated that she wanted to inform Plaintiff that her GC was inundated with fake customers. The secret shopper wanted to give Plaintiff a "heads up" because she felt a sense of pride because like her, Plaintiff is also African American.
- 43. On or about February 4, 2017 through March 21, 2017, the
 Poughkeepsie GC location was inundated with complaints. Jamie Apgar, the
 Division Administrator for Lance Trenary, CEO of Golden Corral Systems, Inc.
 (hereinafter referred to as "Apgar"), would forward 5 plus company's daily to Web,
 Webb, JC, Joyner, and Plaintiff.

44. Apgar aggressively verbally badgered Plaintiff with insults and threats. Apgar informed customers that the Poughkeepsie GC would issues refunds "even without a receipt". If Plaintiff did not enforce this request from Apgar, Apgar would threaten Plaintiff by saying the GC Store was going to be closed down and the Poughkeepsie store was the worst store and the Poughkeepsie store sales were the lowest in GC franchise store history.

- 45. The Poughkeepsie store total income for fifty-one (51) days was \$771,664.47. On or about January 2017 through March 21, 2017, Apgar informed any and all customers that a cash refund would be issued by the store if they were not satisfied, pleased, and/or content. That on or about January 2017 through March 21, 2017, the news got out that the Poughkeepsie GC was basically giving free food away as long as the customer complained.
- 46. Plaintiff requested to speak with Lance Trenary, CEO of Golden Corral Systems, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Trenary"), and her request fell upon deaf ears. Trenary refused to communicate with her. Plaintiff called Trenary on his personal cell phone and left numerous messages and Trenary ignored her calls and failed and refused to assist her in any way.
- 47. On or about March 28, 2017, Plaintiff, the kitchen manager, and the hospitality manager came in person to Golden Corral headquarters to speak with Trenary. Appar took notes and informed Plaintiff and her managers that Trenary was not available. Appar clearly informed Plaintiff and her managers that Trenary

had received information from Aimalefoa, JC, Webb, and Web and understood the scope of the Poughkeepsie store.

176

- 178 48. On or about February 12, 2017, GC Vice President of Franchise
 179 Development Darryl Web (hereinafter referred to as "Web") and David Webb
 180 (hereinafter referred to as "Webb") Division President issued Plaintiff a first and
 181 final warning. See attached Exhibit CC.
- 182 49. On or about March 20, 2017 Plaintiff emailed Webb as per the

 183 instruction of Aimalefoe, a 20 plus year GC General Manager Vet who was sent to

 184 the Poughkeepsie store to offer assistance but was later discovered that Aimalefoe

 185 was reporting exaggerated reports to GC about the conditions of Plaintiff's store.

 186 See attached Exhibit "F."
- 50. On or about March 20, 2017, Toliver threatened to cause Plaintiff bodily harm. Toliver was terminated by Plaintiff on March 20, 2017.
- 51. On or about March 21, 2017, Webb called Plaintiff around 8:01 a.m.
 and informed her that her franchise license was terminated and that the
 Poughkeepsie store could not be opened.
- 192 52. GC confirmed termination of franchisee license with a fabrication, a
 193 deceptional lie and misuse use of FDD contract with Plaintiff.
- 194 53. Golden Corral breached the Franchise agreement by not granting
 195 Plaintiff the opportunity to improve/cure Henderson Poughkeepsie GC.

54. Plaintiff, under the Franchise Agreement, was entitled to correct the Poughkeepsie GC infancy growing pains as it was in business for only 51 days.

- 55. The Middletown, NY/Town of Wallkill GC store presented similar challenges, but was afforded a 300-plus days opportunity to remedy the store issues.
- 56. The owners of the Middletown, NY/Wallkill, Golden Corral location are white/non-black. Middletown, NY/Wallkill, NY was opened 2016 and was closed on or about January 10, 2019. Middletown, NY/Wallkill, NY store did not have over 21 news articles written locally and or nationally as plaintiff's store did.
 - 57. The Holland, Michigan store owned by a 20 year Golden Corral restaurant employee and married to a Golden Corral executive and was opened in December of 2016. This store experienced growing pains. The Holland, Michigan store was owned by "Owner Operated" and part of the purchase was paid by Golden Corral Systems, Inc. the Holland, Michigan store was owned by a non-black/African-American. The store is owned by a "White Couple" In December 2018 the store was closed and Golden Corral Systems afforded this group 300 days to remedy any restaurant management issues.
- 58. The Golden Corral in Cape Coral, FL was closed down by the department of health for observing eighteen (18) live roaches five (5) times during the store's tenure but has always been granted "reopening" opportunities. This store was owned by a Non-African American, white male.

59. On January 23, 2017, four (4) Golden Corrals shut down in Florida City, Pompano Beach, Royal Palm, Palatka, from customer complaints. Some of the complaints were live and dead roaches, grease buildup, sewage seeping into the utility dishwashing room, food temperatures not meeting department of health standards, dirty dishes being placed out for dinner use, and last but definitely not least, workers not cleaning their hands. These locations are all owned by non African-Americans. Ownership of these Florida Golden Corrals was primarily by white males.

- 60. Golden Corral Systems, Inc has over 600 plus stores with over 50 thousand hourly workers and had only one (1) Female Single Black/African American franchisee, the Plaintiff, Sherrance Henderson. Plaintiff is not an owner operator.
- 61. Plaintiff invested over 1.5 million dollars in cash and 1.7 million dollars of her primary residency that was pledged for a loan for the store.
- 62. On or about April 2, 2017, Nitral Patel (hereinafter referred to as "Patel") and GC propelled himself as the GC approval and authorized buyer of the store and to "save" Plaintiff's house for her disabled children.
- 233 63. From June 2016 to December 2017, Patel had been intrinsically
 234 involved in Plaintiff's business opportunity with GC even though he is not a
 235 business partner. Richard Chase (hereinafter referred to as "Chase"), Vice President

of Area Development shared Plaintiff's business plan with Patel without Plaintiff's permission during the time period of 2015 through 2016.

- 64. During the time from January 2013 through January 2016, Plaintiff originally entered into a franchise agreement to hold a license. On or about the time period of January 2103 through January 2014, Plaintiff was informed that Newark, NJ was being removed from the approved area list. Plaintiff was then told to find a comparable location from the approved area list. During a time between January 2014 and June 2014, Plaintiff was informed by Irwin Roberts, Senior Vice President of Franchising that Plaintiff could not handle a store in a strip mall/in-line because she was a new franchisee, lack the experience and Henderson financial status only afforded her with the more expensive GC 11.
- 65. Male franchisees, and "new" owners were offered and have in-line stores that are also "male/men". Plaintiff chose from the authorized list, South Orange, New Jersey. Both Newark and Orange afforded her new franchise/store tax abatements, 90% minority majority community and she had close community relationships with both Mayors. After being approved by GC, they took Newark, NJ off that approved list and stated because there was not a location of five (5) acres. GC then informed Plaintiff on or about July 2014 to October 2014 that she had to change her area again. Plaintiff was told to pick Long Island. That on or about July 2014 through November 2014 available property for lease exceeded the price point of \$7,000.00. The highest lease amount approved by GC was \$8,000.00. The lease

price of the available land in the approved areas in Long island was around triple that amount, approximately \$20,000.00 - \$25,000.00 per month.

257

258

260 Chase from about 8:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. Chase informed Plaintiff that if she wanted any area approved she had to call him "DICK." It seemed proper because Richard is Chase's first name and Dick is the nickname for Richard. However,

- Chase required Plaintiff to call him "Big Dick" and to say it in what Chase called her "sexy radio voice". Plaintiff complied. When she need help with any question on GC Pro Forma report she had to call him Big Dick. She was humiliated and sexually harassed, devalued and feared if she expressed her discomfort, she would surely lose any chance of building her GC. Chase shared Plaintiff's business plan with Patel and instructed Plaintiff to work with him on the GC Pro Forma. Patel had all copies of photos, Profit and Loss (P&L) statement, community restaurant sales of the Poughkeepsie area, kept contact information of decision makers at the local university cafeterias, cost and engineering and their bids for building out the GC, traffic studies (cost \$22,000.00), Geology studies (cost \$17,000.00), and supplemental information.
- 68. On or about April 28, 2017 to December 2017, Patel communicated with Plaintiff's New Jersey Commercial and GA Bankruptcy Lawyer to map out plans to purchase Plaintiff's store location in Poughkeepsie. See attached Exhibit "H." That on or about May 12, 2017 to December 2017, Patel queried about debt of Plaintiff's Poughkeepsie store location, gathered information about Plaintiff's legal activities, and offered Plaintiff a false sense of hope.
- 69. During the term of 2014 through 2017, Plaintiff was the ONLY Female African American Single parent Franchise owner out of 600 plus stores. Plaintiff was the only woman that was a single parent and a franchisee in GC's history.

70.	GC failed to adhere to their engagement of their FDD rules of why a
franchisee	license can be terminated. GC's FDD failed to state "when" and "what
time perio	d" can a franchisee license can be terminated.

71. GC Treated and communicated with Plaintiff like she was an employee and not as an owner, license and/or partner with the brand. It is unusual for an organization to break their own rules of engagement within the FDD, to be hostile, and to set traps to work as a team to cause harm. Golden Corral Systems unconscionably is within violations of Unfair Business Practices, Violations of title VII, breach of a 20-year franchise licensing agreement, ignored ALL rules of the FDD to satisfy the thirst to be racist. Plaintiff was severed loan default paperwork from SBA on or about November 22, 2018 within Gwinnett County Court requesting for payment of 3.7 millions and stated the loan had not been satisfied and an open judgment was still active.

V.

First Cause of Action: For Violations Of Sections 1962(c) And (d) Of RICO

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one through 56, inclusive.
- 58. This claim arises under §1964(c) of RICO and plaintiff seeks to recover actual and treble damages based upon defendants' violations of 18 U.S.C. §1962(c)-(d), as described more fully below.

- 304 59. At all times relevant hereto, the plaintiff and the defendants constituted "persons" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §1961(3).
 - 60. Defendants are engaged in interstate acts of commerce and the acts alleged herein have a demonstrable effect on interstate commerce.
 - 61. Section 1961(4) of RICO defines the term "enterprise" to include "any individual, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity, and any union or group of individuals associated in fact although not a legal entity." 18 U.S.C. §1961(4).
 - 62. At all times relevant hereto, pursuant to the numerous agreements that were negotiated and executed between the defendants, they have formed a series of association-in-fact enterprises within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §1961(4) for the purpose of committing numerous acts of racketeering activity, including theft of property worth more than \$15,000, violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344, which has a maximum prison term of thirty years and is expressly included in the RICO statute as a predicate act.
 - 63. These enterprises are identified as follows:
- 320 TD Bank

- Golden Corral Systems, Inc.
 - 64. Defendants have engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity, as defined in §1961(5) of RICO, by committing and/or aiding and abetting at least two such acts of racketeering activity within the past 10 years. Each such act of

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

racketeering activity was related, had similar purposes, involved the same or
similar participants and methods of commission, and had similar results impacting
upon similar victims, including plaintiff.

34 I

- 65. Section 1961(1) of RICO provides that a "person" commits and act of "racketeering activity" by engaging in (a) "any act or threat involving . . . theft . . . which is chargeable under State law and punishable by imprisonment for more than one year," 18 U.S.C. §1961(1)(A), and/or (b) "any act which is indictable under" any of a number of provisions of Title 18, U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. §1961(1)(B).
- 66. Defendants have either engaged in and/or aided and abetted predicate acts of racketeering activity in violation of state and federal law.
- 67. TD Bank agreed to pay a \$37.5 million civil money penalty to the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) and an additional \$15 million penalty to the Securities and Exchange Commission in September, 2013 for violations of the Bank Secrecy Act, often called the "anti-money laundering (AML) law."
- 68. In addition to the defendants' acts as alleged herein, it also committed the following predicate acts:
 - i. Theft, ("A person commits the offense of theft if he or she unlawfully takes, uses or consumes the property or services of another with intent to permanently deprive the owner of his or her rights to the property or services."). By either knowingly,

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

recklessly disregarding or reasonably being in a position to know 346 the underlying acts of racketeering activity as described above 347 committed by the defendants and/or its agents, but neither 348 preventing nor reporting said conduct and in fact profiting 349 thereby. 350 ii. Fraud; Violations of the Securities and Exchange Act as 351 explained above. 352 TD Bank and CG and its subsidiaries engaged in multiple acts of 69. 353 racketeering activity committed and/or aided and abetted by defendants, as 354 described above, were related to each other and amounted to or posed a threat of 355 continued racketeering activity, and, therefore, constitute a "pattern of racketeering 356 activity," as defined in 18 U.S.C. §1961(5). 357 In violation of 18 U.S.C. §1962(c), the defendants conducted or 70. 358 participated, either directly or indirectly, in the conduct of each of the above-359 referenced enterprises' affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity, as 360 described above. 361 Defendants, and its co-conspirators, agreed and conspired amongst 71. 362 each other to conduct or participated, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

above-referenced enterprises' affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity, in

363

364

365

violation of 18 U.S.C. §1962(d).

366	72.	Defendants conspired to cancel plaintiff's contract with CG and to take
367	her home tl	nat served as security for the loan for construction.
368	73.	As a direct and proximate result of defendants' violations of 18 U.S.C.
369	§§1962(c) a	nd (d), plaintiff has been injured in his business or property. Pursuant to
370	18 U.S.C. §	1964(c), plaintiff is entitled to bring this action and to recover herein
371	actual and	treble damages, the costs of bringing this suit and attorneys' fees.
372	74.	As a direct and proximate result of defendants' violations of 18 U.S.C.
373	§§1962(c) a	nd (d), plaintiff suffered a loss of \$1.79 million, the value of her home
374	that was ta	ken from her by defendants.
375		VI. Second Cause of Action:
376		Violations Of Sections 1962(a) And (d) Of RICO
377	75.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one through 74,
378	inclusive.	
379	76.	This claim for relief arises under §1964(c) of RICO and seeks to recover
380	actual and	treble damages based on defendant's violations of §1962(a) and (d) of
381	RICO.	
382	77.	In violation of §1962(a) and (d) of RICO, defendants conspired to
383	derive, and	did derive, substantial proceeds through the above-described pattern of
384	racketeerin	g activity and conspired to use or invest, and used or invested, such
385	proceeds in	the operation of the association-in-fact enterprises detailed in above.

386	78.	As a direct and proximate result of defendants' violations of §1962(a)
387	and (d) of R	ICO, plaintiffs have been injured in their business or property. Pursuant
388	to §1964(c)	of RICO, plaintiff is entitled to bring this action and to recover herein
389	actual and	treble damages, the costs of bringing this suit and attorneys' fees.
390	79.	Defendants committed the acts alleged herein maliciously,
391	fraudulentl	y and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring plaintiff, in an
392	improper m	otive amounting to malice, in conscious disregard of plaintiff's rights.
393	Plaintiff is	thus also entitled to recover exemplary damages from defendant in an
394	amount to l	pe established at trial but relating to the total monies obtained by
395	defendants	as a result of their participation in this illegal and oppressive scheme.
396		VII.
397		Third Cause of Action: Breach of Contract
398	80.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one through 79,
399	inclusive.	
400	81.	Plaintiff and Defendant CG entered into a contract concerning
401	operation of	f a Golden Corral franchise.
402	82.	Plaintiff substantially complied with all terms and conditions of the
403	contract.	
404	83.	Defendant CG breached the contract by terminating it with due

405

process.

406	84.	Defendant CG failed to give plaintiff the opportunity to make changes
407	and improv	ements.
408	85.	As a direct and proximate result of defendant's breach of contract,
409	plaintiff suf	ffered a loss of her investment of \$3.7 million.
410		VIII.
413	Fou	rth Cause of Action: Violations of 42 U.S.C. §1981 and §1985
412	86.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one through 85,
413	inclusive.	
414	87.	42 U.S.C. § 1981(a) provides: "All persons within the jurisdiction of
415	the United	States shall have the same right in every State and Territory to make
416	and enforce	contractsas is enjoyed by white citizens[T]he term 'make and
417	enforce con	tracts' includes the making, performance, modification, and termination
418	of contracts	s, and the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms, and conditions of
419	the contrac	tual relationship."
420	88.	42 U.S.C § 1981 (b) provides, "For purposes of this section, the term
421	"make and	enforce contracts" includes the making, performance, modification, and
422	termination	n of contracts, and the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms, and
423	conditions	of the contractual relationship."
424	89.	42 U.S.C. § 1981 (c) provides "The rights protected by this section are
425	protected a	gainst impairment by nongovernmental discrimination and impairment
423	protected a	Samot impairmont of nongovormonate association in a

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

under color of State law.

427	90.	42 U.S.C. Sections 1981 and 1985 apply to franchisors. See Selective
428	Enforcemen	t of Franchise System Terms and Standards, 23 Franchise L.J. 110 (Fall
429	2003).	
430	91.	GC and TD Bank committed nongovernmental discrimination in
431	violation of	42 U.S.C. § 1981(c) by discriminating against plaintiff on account of her
432	race and ge	nder and by disparately treating her compared to white and male
433	franchisees	
434	92.	42 U.S.C. § 1985 outlaws conspiracies to deprive individuals of their
435	civil rights.	
436	93.	The defendants, and their employees, conspired to deprive plaintiff of
437	her civil rig	hts by forcing her out of her Golden Corral franchise on account of her
438	race and ge	nder.
439		IX.
440 441	Fifth	Cause of Action: Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
442 443	94.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs one through 93,
444	inclusive.	
445	95.	Defendants, knowing that Plaintiff was psychologically and financially
446	vulnerable,	and solely for their own personal gratification, intentionally inflicted
447	egregious e	motional trauma upon Plaintiff.
448	96.	Under D'Arcy v. Union Oil Co., 1991 U.S. App. LEXIS 15779 (9th Cir
449	July 11, 19	91), the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals found Union Oil Company guilty
		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

450	of infliction	of emotional distress in its termination of the franchisee's lease as "from
451	the beginni	ng of the franchise relationship, Union Oil expressed an intent to
452	terminate I	D'Arcy's lease by any means possible." D'Arcy was also told by the Union
453	Oil retail re	epresentative "that one of his responsibilities was to eliminate D'Arcy as
454	a Union dea	alerand that] he was going to make D'Arcy's life miserable." <i>Id.</i> at *9.
455	97.	Like in the D'Arcy case, Golden Corral and TD Bank and its
456	representat	tives have intentionally harassed, humiliated and undermined Plaintiff
457	at every op	portunity so as to ensure her franchise failed.
458	98.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff
459	sustained a	serious psychological injury. Defendants' actions caused extreme
460	emotional t	rauma.
461		
462		
463		
464		
465		
466		
467		
468		
469		
470		

471		VIII.
472		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
473	WHE	CREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Court grant the
474	following re	elief:
475	(a)	Award plaintiff actual damages of \$100 million for breach of contract;
476	(b)	Award plaintiff actual damages of \$6.99 mil under RICO;
477	(c)	Award plaintiff treble RICO damages or additional \$100 million;
478	(d)	Award plaintiff damages under the Civil Rights laws of \$100 million;
479	(e)	Award plaintiff actual damages for intentional infliction of emotional
480	distress of §	\$100 million;
481		
482	(f)	Award plaintiff punitive damages for intentional infliction of emotional
483	distress and	d civil rights violations of nine-time actual damages or \$62.91 million;
484	(g)	Award total damages listed above for \$369.9 million;
485	(h)	Award plaintiff costs and attorneys' fees;
486	(i)	Order defendant to end discrimination against African-Americans
487	females, by	ordered defendant Golden Corral to create a proportionate number of
488	franchises o	of Golden Corral for women/females African Americans;
489	(j)	For all causes of action interest from the date of judgment and the
490	costs and di	isbursements of this action.

191	(k) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper with pre
192	and post court interest imposed if judgement is not paid in full at time of judgment
193	or when appalled is requested by defendants in cash bond at South District Federal
194	Dated: 8/21/2017
195 196	
197	
198	
199	Sherrance Henderson, Plaintiff
500	Pro Se
501	
502	
503	
504	
004	
505	ž
606	

Case 7:19-cv-02878-NSR Document 8 Filed 08/23/19 Page 28 of 32

507	JURY DEMAND	
508	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.	
509		
510		Sherrance Henderson, Plaintiff
511		$Pro\ Se$
512		
513		
514		

Exhibit H

usa0287@fedex.com

From:

sheronceh@aol.com

Sent:

Thursday, March 21, 2019 4:04 PM

To:

usa0287@fedex.com

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Fwd: Fwd: Yesterday mins

----Original Message----

From: sheronceh <sheronceh@aol.com> To: npatel <npatel@northeastdl.com> Sent: Thu, Dec 14, 2017 11:00 AM Subject: Fwd: Yesterday mins

Patel this is for your information only. I will get this and of course we both can listen to it. I'm trying to find out how I can get it transcribed so I can submit it to the board in Georgia.

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail

----Original Message----

From: Amanda Hirsch <ahirsch@joneswalden.com> To: Leslie Pineyro < lpineyro@joneswalden.com>

CC: sheronceh < sheronceh@aol.com> Sent: Thu, Dec 14, 2017 09:22 AM

Subject: RE: Yesterday mins

Ms. Henderson,

A CD transcript of the hearing can be requested. It costs \$31 dollars. You will need a computer to listen to the transcript. There is a specific software program required to listen to the transcript. It can be downloaded at www.fortherecord.com and its free. Would you like to proceed with the request? Let us know.

Thanks,

Amanda R. Hirsch

Paralegal

Jones & Walden, LLC

21 Eighth Street, NE

Case 1:19-cv-02878-CM Document 2 Filed 03/28/19 Page 216 of 239 Case 7:19-cv-02878-NSR Document 8 Filed 08/23/19 Page 31 of 32

Atlanta, GA 30309

P: 404-564-9300

F: 404-564-9301

www.joneswalden.com

NOTICE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION AND ANY DOCUMENTS ATTACHED HERETO MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION WHICH IS LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL TO WHOM THE TRANSMISSION IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR CONVEYING THE INFORMATION TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR USE OF ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE SENDER BY TELEPHONE OR REPLY BY E-MAIL AND DESTROY THIS TRANSMISSION. THANK YOU.

From: Leslie Pineyro

Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 8:40 AM

To: Amanda Hirsch Cc: sheronceh@aol.com Subject: Fwd: Yesterday mins

Amanda can help you. A c.d. Is the least expensive way to go!

Sent from my iPhone

Leslie Pineyro, Esq.

Jones & Walden, LLC

21 Eighth Street NE

Atlanta, GA 30309

404-564-9300

lpineyro@joneswalden.com

NOTICE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION AND ANY DOCUMENTS ATTACHED HERETO MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION WHICH IS LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL TO WHOM THE TRANSMISSION IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE

Case 1:19-cv-02878-CM Document 2 Filed 03/28/19 Page 217 of 239 Case 7:19-cv-02878-NSR Document 8 Filed 08/23/19 Page 32 of 32

PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR CONVEYING THE INFORMATION TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR USE OF ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE SENDER BY TELEPHONE OR REPLY BY E-MAIL AND DESTROY THIS TRANSMISSION. THANK YOU.

Begin forwarded message:

From: < sheronceh@aol.com>

Date: December 14, 2017 at 8:28:11 AM EST

To: < !pineyro@joneswalden.com >, < Mtokajer@joneswalden.com >

Subject: Yesterday mins

How can I order the mins from yesterday trial? Thanks Sent from AOL Mobile Mail